spoken on the supplementary demands. I don't wish to take more than a minute or two. These are supplementary demands and these arise out of the needs that have arisen since we presented the Budget in July. As I highlighted in my opening statement, I have provided Rs. 1,200 crores for Defence. Virtually, all of this is provided under the revenue account. The hon. Members might remember that the Budget provided an increase of a little over-Rs.900 crores in the capital account. But I have maintained the revenue expenditure at about the same level of last year. I promised that during the course of the year if there are requirements we would provide more. Accordingly, I have provided Rs. 1,200 crores under the revenue account. This will bring a balance between capital expenditure and revenue expenditure. We have also provided Rs. 650 crores for Jammu and Kashmir—I think that is important—Rs. 585 crores by way of grant and Rs. 65 crores by way of loan. I believe that this is the way in which Parliament affirms its solidarity with the people of Jammu and Kashmir and tells them, "You have come through adversity, you have gone through the fire of elections and you have elected a popular Government. The rest of the country stand by you and Parliament stand by you." As a tribute to the remarkable work done by our Space scientists and the work they continue to do, we are providing an additional sum of Rs. 150 crores for Space. Madam, additional Central allowance for externally aided projects is an important instrument for devolving funds upon States to spend on projects which are externally aided. Last year, the Government provided only Rs. 2,500 crores. When they came to the Revised Estimate, we provided Rs. 3,000 crores. But Rs. 1,100 crores of last year's bill have spilled over to this year and we are picking up that bill this year. Although I have provided Rs. 2,500 crores under the BE, since I have to provide another Rs. 1,100 crores pertaining to last year, I am now seeking an additional provision of Rs. 1,000 crores under the additional Central allowance. In all other areas we are making some adjustments from revenue account to capital account or vice versa. We are finding savings within the revenue account or within the capital account and appropriating it to other heads of revenue or capital as the case may be. I wish to draw the attention of the House to the Pulse Polio Immunisation Programme for which we have provided Rs. 25 crores. For the India Population Project, we have provided Rs. 12 crores and for the Watershed Development Programme we have provided Rs. 4 crores. The last but not the least is the Khadi and Village Industries. An additional provision of Rs. 50 crores is being made towards rebate on khadi. As you all know, the Budget was presented in July. It was passed only on the 13th September. Therefore, the spending this year is bound to be a little slow. But it will pick up as the year comes to an end. I am confident that most of the Ministries and Departments would be able to spend the monies allotted to them both under the capital account and the revenue account. With these words, I request the House to kindly return the Bill. Thank you.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA): The question is:

> "That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1996-97, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN: we shall now take up clause by clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 and 3 and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause I, the Enancting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI P. CHIDAMBARAM: Madam, I beg to move;

"That the Bill be returned."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

THE UTTAR PRDESH APPROPRIATION (NO. 2) BILL, 1996 (CONTD.)

THE MINISTER OF FINANCE (SHRI P.CHIDAMBARAM): Madam, I beg to move:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of the State of Uttar Pradesh for the services of the financial year 1996-97, as passed by Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The Question was put and the motion was adopted.

THE VICE-CHAIRMAN (SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA): We shall now take up clause-by-clause consideration of the Bill.

Clauses 2 &3 and the Schedule were added to the Bill.

Clause 1, the enacting Formula and the Title were added to the Bill.

SHRI P. CHIDMABARAM: Madam, I move:

"That the Bill be returned."

The question was put and the motion was adopted.

THE APPROPRIATION (RAILWAYS) NO. 4 BILL, 1996

THE MINISTER OF RAILWAYS (SHRI RAM VILAS PASWAN): Madam I beg to move:

"That the Bill to authorise payment and appropriation of certain further sums from and out of the Consolidated Fund of India for the services of the financial year 1996-97 for the purposes of Railways, as passed by the Lok Sabha, be taken into consideration."

The question was proposed.

उपसभाध्यक्ष (श्रीमती कमला सिन्हा): मंत्री जी, आप कुछ बोलना चाहते हैं इस पर? संक्षेप में आप अगर कुछ बोलना चाहें तो बोल सकते हैं।

रेल मंत्री (श्री राम विलास पासवान): यदि यह बिल विदाऊट डिस्कसन पास करना है तो मैं बोल देता हूं।

PROF. RAM KAPSE (Maharashtra): Hon. Vice-Chairman, in general I support the supplementary demands. The first supplementary demand is about Railway Suraksha Kalyan Nidhi. it is a welfare item on the agenda and it is for the welfare of RSKN. I support the demand. But at the same time I would like to draw the attention of the Minister to the problem the State Home Ministers are facing. I would like to quote about Maharashtra. When Mr. Jaffer Sharief was the Railway Minister, he decided that hereafter for protection we should not spend and Railways have stopped creating new posts. RPF is one thing and at the same time Railways are helped by the state Governments in protection and I feel that the decision of the then Railway Minister needs to be amended. The payments are to be made to the States and they are demanding it. So the matter needs to be solved as far as Maharashtra and other States are concerned. Then the next item which has been mentioned is about court decrease. It has been found that whenever the case is taken to the customer court, there the Railways are placed in the dock and many a time the customer wins the case in the customer court. But it has been found that the Railways do not pay the claim. When it is approved by the customer court I would request the Railway Minister to go through such cases and the customer interest needs to be protected as far as these cases are concerned and if at all for court decrees you are asking for a supplementary demand, I support it. Then I come to the out-of-turn new lines. In all there are 20 lines which you have proposed. It is in the interest of the states and, therefore, I support all those demands. But at the same time, I would like to draw your attention to the fact that there are only two Railways which are neglected the most; one is the Central Railway and the other is the Western Railway. It is good that you have taken care of North-East Frontier Railway and you are doing something which is really necessary for Northeast Frontier Railway. I am happy about it. But at the same time, for Central Railway there is only one item and Central Railway is totally neglected. Regarding Western Railway two demands are there. Most of the demands are either from Bihar or from Karnataka. I have gone through it. At least enough care should have been taken for the Central Railway. That is my demand. Then for South-Central Railway, there are five demands. For Eastern Railway there are three demands. For North-Eastern Railway, there is one demand. So why is there a disparity? You will have to explain about it but even then I support all these